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1. SUMMARY

During September 2008 the previous tenement holder Matilda Minerals Ltd was placed into receivership due to cash flow problems with its Tiwi Islands Andranangoo Project located within ML 24510.

In July 2009, Olympia Resources Ltd (now renamed to Matilda Zircon Limited) purchased the Tiwi Island assets of Matilda Minerals from the administrators of Matilda Minerals with the aim of re-establishing mining and processing activities on the island.

A reappraisal of the mineral resources at Andranangoo has been undertaken and a review of the rehabilitation at Andranangoo coupled to a review of required actions prior to recommencing operations has also been undertaken by environmental consultants EcOZ.

Mining and processing operations commenced at Andranangoo in early November 2006 and were completed in September 2008. The vast majority of the economic mineralisation within ML 24510 (at a nominal 2% HM cutoff) has been mined with only remnant mineralisation at a lower grade remaining.

No further exploration is required to define mineralised zones.

2. INTRODUCTION

Matilda Minerals Ltd ("Matilda") was admitted to the Australian Stock Exchange on 15 September 2004. Matilda’s objective was to explore and mine small to medium sized heavy mineral deposits which are rich in zircon and rutile, have low clay content, little or no overburden, a small environmental footprint and require low capital expenditure to develop. The potential deposits on the Tiwi Islands offer these criteria.

The islands are wholly within the Tiwi Island Aboriginal Land Trust administered by the Tiwi Land Council ("TLC"). Matilda signed an agreement with the TLC on 19 December 2003 which set conditions for the exploration and mining development activity.

In November 2006 Matilda Minerals commenced mining operations on the Tiwi Islands centred on the Andranangoo deposit located within ML 24510. Based on a mining reserve of 2.54 mt @ 3.56 % HM, containing approximately 52% zircon, 22% rutile and minor leucoxene and ilmenite heavy minerals the project was expected to have a mine life of approximately 3 years.
Cash flow problems coupled to a collapsed wharf at Garden Point contributed to the company being placed in Administration and the project being sold to the new owners Olympia Resources Limited, now renamed to Matilda Zircon.

Total previous production by Matilda Minerals between 2006-2008 has been estimated at 1.76mt @ 3.95 % HM.

Since acquiring the project in July 2009 Matilda Zircon has systematically reviewed the remaining resources within ML 24510 and conducted environmental reviews and analysis of the rehabilitation efforts of Matilda Minerals.

This report is lodged in accordance with s79 of the Mining Act.

3. TENURE

This report covers ML24510:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenement number</th>
<th>Date granted</th>
<th>Date expiry</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Report due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML24510</td>
<td>07/09/2005</td>
<td>06/09/2030</td>
<td>821.70 ha</td>
<td>6 December</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ML24510 lies within the boundaries of EL23862.

(See figures 1 and 2)
Figure 1

Tiwis Islands Project Tenements and Prospect Locations
Figure 2

Tiwi Islands Project, Melville Island North East Tenements and Prospect Locations
4. **EXPLORATION**

No exploration occurred during the reporting year.

Mineral Lease 24510 is bounded on three sides by Andranangoo Creek, the sea, and little Andranangoo Creek, and takes in the whole of the coastal plain on this section of the coastline. Exploration in previous years has tested the complete area of coastal plain and there are no exploration targets remaining to be tested.

During the reporting year a detailed analysis of the previous mining completed coupled to an updated resource estimate at Andranangoo covering unmined portions of the orebody was completed.

The review concluded that coupled to the existence of a number of buffer zones which sterilise portions of the resource the low grade nature of the majority of the remaining unmined areas suggests no economic grades remain.

5. **PLANNED EXPLORATION - 2009/2010**

No exploration is planned for the coming reporting year as all prospective targets have been explored inside the ML. Where any uncertainty exists as to the extent of particular ore zones they will be incorporated as part of the grade control drilling and sampling program that falls outside the definition of exploration.

Continued monitoring of the rehabilitation in progress is planned and hopefully the moving of the treatment plant from ML 24510 to the Lethbridge Bay area within ML 24511 will also occur.

6. **EXPENDITURE**

During the reporting year in excess of $100,000 was spent on exploration, review and environmental activities in Mineral Lease ML24510.

7. **RESOURCE REVIEW ANDRANANGOO DEPOSIT**

During July-August 2009 a detailed review of the Adranangoo Deposit was completed. On review these resources are marginal to the previous mined material (and low grade), located within strands that are close to buffer zones (that protect the shoreline, springs and wetlands), or in a strand that was known to contain minor marcasite (with consequent potential acid water implications).
In addition mining activities at Andranangoo between November 2006 and September 2008 mined out any higher grade zones which were easily accessible and economic.

This resource review has conducted the following examination to determine the remnant mineralisation at Andranangoo.

1) Compile all previous pit surveys and pickups into one final file.
2) Assign this pickup as mined into the original resource block model.
3) Report remnant and mined material
4) Checked the mined as reported against production
5) Assign buffer zones (and some marcasites rich material) into the unmined block model and report the results.

**Table 1: Ore Block Model vs Actual Mined Andranangoo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ISBD = 1.70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBM Resource</td>
<td>2,545,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Depletion Estimates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Processed/Adjusted</td>
<td>1,520,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon estimate from OBM**</td>
<td>1,764,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compile all pit pickups, assign into OBM, Subset and Report</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Resources Remaining at Andranangoo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ISBD of 1.70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original OBM</td>
<td>2,545,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Independent Review Mined</td>
<td>1,764,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Resource</td>
<td>780,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Minus Buffer Ore/Marcasite</td>
<td>559,938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: Independent Review of Pit Survey Files, integrated with block model

The remnant mineralisation at Andranangoo is reported in Table 22 and buffer zone resources are reported in Table 3. The remnant material located outside of the buffer zone is all located in thin irregular slivers on the margins and ends of what has been previously mined. When the Andranangoo mine was operating it was not considered economic. The eastern end of the Andranangoo resource is finer grained than the western end and recoveries of the HM from the eastern end of the deposit dropped off considerably during processing to approximately 80%. It was also found during mining at Andranangoo that ore zones which were only one metre thick (even at 3.5% HM) were not economic due to the clearing, rehabilitation and other activities for a reasonably small gain of ore tonnages. A reasonable proportion of the remnant material is only one metre thick.
Table 3: Resource in Buffer Zones, Andranangoo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer Zone Material</th>
<th>Tonnes</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beach Buffer</td>
<td>43,881</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Buffer</td>
<td>13,175</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove Buffer</td>
<td>89,250</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Buffer</td>
<td>52,275</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISBD of 1.70</td>
<td>198,581</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Marcasite Material   | 22,312 | 4.52  |
| Combined with Buffer | 220,893| 3.79  |

Figure 4: Final Andranangoo Pit Survey  Sept 08
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Introduction

Olympia Resources have contracted Ecoz Environmental Services to assist in the planning phase of the mining of mineral sand deposits on the Tiwi Islands. A site visit by EcOz to the Tiwi Islands on 18th-20th June 2009 was organized to advise on potential threats to the islands’ natural heritage values posed by proposed mining activities, and to relate existing regulatory constraints imposed under government legislation to the planning process. The progress of recent mining rehabilitation was observed at the Andranangoo Creek West site where mining operations ceased in 2008. Potential negative impacts of proposed mining activities were considered at four prospective mineral or exploration leases, particularly in relation to landform, hydrology, proximity to environmentally sensitive areas, and habitat value for species of conservation significance.

Site Visit Report

A brief investigation of the Andranangoo Creek West site provided an insight into the short-term effectiveness of post-mining rehabilitation. Both the extent of disturbance to the site and the capacity for short-term revegetation efforts to establish stabilizing ground cover were observed. This site demonstrated the value of reinstatement of the dune system landform, the provision of habitat complexity by scattering of logs and tree stumps, and issues that can arise from early succession post-disturbance vegetative growth specific to the environment.

A visit to the Lethbridge West mineral lease placed existing regulations outlined in the existing EIS for this site (URS 2006) into context with the physical environment. Site specific characteristics of the lease such as landform, vegetation, and the spatial relationship between the mineral deposit and environmentally sensitive areas were observed. A number of Olive Ridley (Lepidochelys olivacea) sea turtle nests were identified on the beach adjoining the lease. The value of the proposed 200m buffer zone between the high water mark and mining operations was considered in light of the density and height of dune vegetation at the site.

The Lethbridge South mineral deposit is currently under consideration as an extension to the proposed mining activities at Lethbridge West. Inspection of this site enabled a comparison of environmental characteristics between the two sites. Identifying environmental issues common to both of these sites has outlined which existing approvals for Lethbridge West may be extended to cover Lethbridge South. Considerations specific to mining the Lethbridge South deposit (eg. extension of existing road etc.) were noted for the development of an MMP for the site.

The mineral deposit at Radford Point was observed. Particular attention was paid to the proximity of the deposit to adjacent beach and wetland habitats. A brief sea turtle nest survey was conducted on the areas of beach adjacent to the deposit. Nest densities and nest site selection were recorded to determine the extent of environmental controls that would be required in order to mine the dune habitat with minimal impact on turtle nesting at Radford Point. The value of dune stability as a buffer against weather events such as storm surge and was also considered.

Finally, the Puwanapi mineral deposit on the west coast of Bathurst Island was investigated. The focus at this site was the proximity of the mineral deposit to sensitive mangrove, wetland and beach habitats, a consequence of the narrow width of the dune system along
the majority of the mineral deposit. A survey of sea turtle nests along the extent of the beach was also conducted focusing on nest site selection within and adjacent to the dune system.

Following is an outline of requirements that we understand Olympia Resources need to address prior to reinstating operations on the Tiwi Islands sand mining projects.

Andranangoo – Post-mining obligations

The following actions are required to comply with obligations outlined in the EIS, MMP, and Environmental Assessment Report, for Mine Closure at the Andranangoo West site:

*Note Olympia must comply with the commitments made by Matilda, or required of Matilda.

- As part of closure criteria, Matilda is to demonstrate that groundwater flow regimes at Andranangoo return to pre mining activity following the wet season after completion of mining activity. *(EPA Environmental Assessment Report and Recommendations, Recommendation 22)*;

- Matilda commits to monitoring groundwater quality prior to commencement, during, and following the completion of mining activities. *(Draft EIS, Section 8.5)*;

- Matilda commits to monitoring surface water quality prior to commencement, during, and following the completion of mining activities. *(Draft EIS, Section 7.5)*;

- Matilda commits to a pre- and post mining flora monitoring program which will encompass surveys to determine the species present and to establish the success of rehabilitation. *(Draft EIS, Section 9.2)*;

- Existing commitments with regard to weed management on the mine and camp sites are to be closely adhered to, for the life of the mine and post mining revegetation effort. *(EPA Environmental Assessment Report and Recommendations, Recommendation 6)*;

- Matilda commits to a pre- and post mining fauna monitoring program which will encompass surveys to determine the species present and to establish the success of rehabilitation. *(Draft EIS, Section 10.2)*;

- Rehabilitation of areas associated with the camp and plant site will be carried out at the completion of mining. *(Draft EIS, Section 21)*;

- All access roads, tracks, building pads and other infrastructure not required for future use will be removed, and the area ripped and leveled to the original profile. Areas susceptible to erosion will be covered with brush and seeded. Suitable arrangements will be made to dispose of materials used for hardstands, foundations and road surfacing. *(Draft EIS, Section 21)*;

- Water supply bores to be decommissioned, or left subject to the landowners and TLC’s approval. *(Draft EIS, Section 21)*;

- An audit is to be performed toward the end of Matilda’s mining at Andranangoo, to determine whether environmental outcomes of mining activities have been met to that point. Operations at Lethbridge is to be contingent on Government approval of
the outcomes of that audit. (EPA Environmental Assessment Report and Recommendations, Recommendation 33).

EcOz are unaware if any of these activities have been performed. Information being provided by Olympia should help to identify which, if any of these tasks have been completed, otherwise liaison with the Mines Department will provide this information. Based on this lack of knowledge we have identified all of the tasks required as follows;

Groundwater monitoring incorporates a total of 19 bores. Sampling includes parameters such as pH, cations/anions, total dissolved solids, nitrite, and metals. Quarterly testing also includes radiological parameters. The surface water quality field sampling procedure incorporates eight sites and covers pH, EC, temperature, ORP and TDS. Laboratory sampling involves following analytes:

- pH
- Arsenic
- Calcium
- Chloride
- Copper
- Lead
- Manganese
- Nickel
- Nitrite
- Silver
- Sulphate
- Titanium
- Zinc
- TDS
- Aluminium
- Cadmium
- Carbonate/Bicarbonate
- Chromium
- Iron
- Magnesium
- Mercury
- Nitrate
- Potassium
- Sodium
- Thorium
- Uranium
- Zirconium

We propose to undertake a single bore monitoring event where the standing water levels of each bore is taken in an attempt to demonstrate that groundwater flow regimes at Andranangoo have returned to the pre mining activity situation and collect samples and analyse for the above mentioned parameters in order to compare current water quality to pre mining water quality. A similar suite of surface water monitoring will be required this coming wet season.

A post-mining flora survey will indicate the extent to which vegetation is re-establishing. This will be used to satisfy the requirement for the reinstatement of a similar flora composition to that of the site prior to mining. Weed monitoring will be incorporated into post-mining flora surveys to keep costs to a minimum. Rehabilitation of the processing site is to include ripping, reinstating landform contours and revegetation as per MMP requirements.

Post-mining fauna monitoring is intended to determine the success of rehabilitation. Conducting a fauna survey during the early stages of rehabilitation is unlikely to provide a reliable insight into its progress. This is because recolonisation of the area by the majority of species will not occur until vegetation becomes re-established. It is therefore recommended that the post-mining fauna survey of the Andranangoo site be conducted during the dry season of 2010 at the earliest. In addition, a post-mining sea turtle survey this year will provide valuable information on the overall impact (or lack thereof) of mining activities on sea turtle populations.
Ongoing rehabilitation of the plant site and associated infrastructure will be required. Negotiations with the TLC regarding which if any of this infrastructure they wish to remain may reduce these requirements.

It is unlikely that the audit of the environmental outcomes of mining activities has occurred. As the commencement of works at Lethbridge West is contingent on government approval of this audit, completion of such an audit is a high priority. Of course, should documentation supporting completion of an audit be located, this will not be required.

**Lethbridge West – Pre-mining obligations**

Under existing approvals, the following actions are required prior to development of the Lethbridge West site:

*Note Olympia must comply with the commitments made by Matilda, or required of Matilda.*

- Matilda commits to investigating the possible presence of acid sulphate soils (ASS) at Lethbridge as part of more detailed site work prior to mining being undertaken. In addition, further analysis for the presence of ASS soils would be undertaken near any wetlands, when access to these areas is available, prior to mining in these areas. *(Draft EIS, Section 5.1.3)*;

- Matilda commits to undertake more detailed groundwater modeling at Lethbridge to assess the impacts in more detail prior to mining to determine the optimum location of the borefield. *(Draft EIS, Section 8.5)*;

- Matilda commits to monitoring of groundwater quality prior to commencement, during and following the completion of mining activities. *(Draft EIS, Section 8.5)*;

- Matilda commits to monitor surface water quality prior to commencement, during and following the completion of mining activities. *(Draft EIS, Section 7.4)*;

- Lethbridge haul road alignment be contingent on further surveys for Butler’s Dunnart’s occurring before-hand, and any discovered populations being avoided by the road alignment. *(EPA Environmental Assessment Report and Recommendations, Recommendation 7)*;

- Intensive trapping and relocation programs are to be carried out in areas planned for clearing, followed by intensive surveys of the site for occupied tree hollows, nests or burrows in the day(s) immediately preceding such actions. Any further detected fauna should be removed and relocated, if possible before clearing occurs. If removal from hollows, etc is not possible, then the occupation sites should be marked and further managed during the clearing operation to minimize fauna injury. *(EPA Environmental Assessment Report and Recommendations, Recommendation 9)*.

These regulations apply to the commencement of mining according to mining procedures outlined in the Draft EIS. Variation to these procedures will require further assessment to demonstrate that there will be no changes to environmental outcomes of the mining process. Where environmental outcomes will be altered as a result of altered mining procedures, approval of variations to existing procedures will need to be sought from DRDPIFR.
Simon Coxhell may be best placed to perform some simple ASS tests if none have yet been performed.

We are unaware of the status of the groundwater modeling at Lethbridge and will need to investigate this further. Irrespective of this we propose to undertake a single bore monitoring event where the standing water levels of each bore is taken to establish a 2009 baseline, as well as collect samples and analyse for the parameters mentioned in Andranangoo post mining obligations section above. The salinity levels are of particular interest. The same suite of samples will be required to be collected during the mining activities. A similar suite of surface water monitoring will be required this coming wet season.

Section 8 of the EIS states “The coastal plain at Lethbridge Creek West is saline. Thus, for environmental reasons, the bores for process water supply would be located within the Van Diemen Sandstone on the scarp, in areas where fresher permeable sediments would be located. This would minimize the potential for salinisation impacts in the mining area”. The use of sea water and it subsequent discharge from mineral processing would require further investigation into the possible impacts of salinisation however may also be more acceptable that in a location where the groundwater was fresh. In addition, approval of the variation to approved procedures would be required from DRDPIFR.

A Barge landing for the loading of mineral sands was approved for both the Lethbridge West and Andranangoo sites. However, conditions of the approval state ‘No concentrate loading during the peak sea turtle nesting season from April to May.

A pre- and post disturbance sea turtle survey (in conjunction with regular ongoing monitoring as described in the MMP) will uncover any affects of the sand mining process on sea turtle populations. Specific attention to the Barge landing area may enable us to remove this requirement, as field investigations indicated that it was not a nesting area.

Although the endangered Masked Owl was identified at Lethbridge West during pre-mining fauna surveys, no active nest sites were identified so protective measures (100m buffers) do not apply.

Extension of the Lethbridge haul road will require surveys for Butler’s Dunnart as per the haul road realignment previously carried out by GSP and TLC. Surveys will involve pit trap transects across the current road, and also extend into woodland habitats. If Butler’s Dunnarts are found on the survey, identification of specimens further into the woodland will demonstrate the extension of the species beyond the boundaries of proposed works. Trapping and fauna removal immediately prior to and during clearing will be required.
Lethbridge South

The differences between the Lethbridge West and Lethbridge South sites are largely superficial from the perspective of potential environmental impacts. Therefore, the environmental control and biodiversity protection measures developed and approved for Lethbridge West should apply to Lethbridge South. Due to the expected variation in site specific characteristics however, certain surveys and monitoring procedures will need to be replicated for the Lethbridge South site. Extension of the existing MMP for Lethbridge West to include Lethbridge South will require the following actions at Lethbridge South:

- Surface water quality testing (*where applicable*);
- Groundwater quality testing;
- Groundwater modeling;
- Acid sulphate soil testing;
- Flora surveys; and
- Fauna surveys (including removal prior to clearing.)

The absence of adjacent beach habitat for sea turtle nesting at Lethbridge South eliminates the need for the 200m buffer zone between the high water mark and mining operations. Buffers will need to be placed around environmentally sensitive areas such as the mangrove habitat to the east of the deposit. These buffer distances were originally set at 50m at Lethbridge West but were later approved to a minimum of 30m by DRDPIFR.

Groundwater quality, extent and potential will need to be investigated. Both groundwater and surface water quality monitoring programs will need to be established and initiated prior to mining operations.

Flora surveys will need to be carried out to identify vegetation species and communities of conservation significance which may be impacted upon by mining activities. Data from these surveys will comprise baseline data for the site against which rehabilitation objectives can be set. Vegetation survey methods outlined in Metcalfe (2006) for the Andranangoo and Lethbridge West sites will be followed at Lethbridge South.

Fauna surveys will need to be carried out to identify fauna of conservation significance which may be impacted upon by mining activities. Surveys targeting significant species such as the Butler’s Dunnart and the Masked Owl will be incorporated into a general fauna survey as part of a larger monitoring program. Extensive surveys comprising eight survey quadrats were established at both Andranangoo and Lethbridge West. It is estimated that four quadrats will be sufficient for the Lethbridge South site.

Both flora and fauna surveys will be required prior to clearance of an access track to the Lethbridge South site. These surveys can be conducted in conjunction the mine site surveys.
Conclusion

A number of challenges with respect to regulatory environmental constraints have been identified at prospective mineral sands mining leases on the Tiwi Islands. At this stage, commencement of mining activities in accordance with pre-existing approvals at the Lethbridge West deposit represents the most logical course of progress from the perspective of environmental regulatory approval. Extension of these approvals to Lethbridge South does not raise any major environmental management issues that have not previously been overcome at Andranangoo and Lethbridge West. Compliance with mine closure obligations at Andranangoo Creek West is unlikely to raise any significant challenges. Further discussion on the requirements for mining approval at the Radford Point and Puwanapi mineral deposits will highlight regulatory challenges unique to these sites, and thus determine their status as viable future operations.

With your approval I can prepare a cost estimate and timeline of the tasks that I believe will not have been performed by Matilda, and/or will definitely be required prior to the commencement of mining. I would hope to have a better understanding of what tasks actually have or have not been performed by the week after next.

Please feel free to contact me regarding any aspect of this report.

I certainly hope it provides a clear description of the types of activities required prior to recommencement of mining activities. As mentioned above a detailed and thorough estimate of costs can be prepared as further information, or lack of it comes to light.

Thank you for this opportunity and I look forward to a mutually productive relationship,

Ray Hall
Principal
Thursday, June 25, 2009
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