



CAMECO - STANDARD SAMPLING METHODOLOGY AND PROCEDURES

DRILL CORE SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

Samples are selected from the central part of each row of the core tray and routinely cut into 10 cm lengths halved along the core axis using a core saw (one half retained in the core-tray) and then the length is halved. One half is described using physical properties (grain-size, colour, magnetic susceptibility and friability) and measured for spectral parameters using the ASD spectrometer. These samples are retained within the Cameco storage facility in Darwin. The other half of the sample is used for geochemical analysis. Selected samples are sent for thin sectioning and petrographic description.

‘COMPOSIT’ samples are sample intervals where half-core is selected from each row within the core trays, and combined over a nominal 5 m interval. The intervals are determined from lithological and/or alteration boundaries by the geologist logging the hole. ‘SPOT’ samples are selected samples, nominally 10cm in length. ‘SPLIT’ samples are mineralised or anomalous intervals (generally as determined from the down-hole gamma log) and are sampled from halved core over nominal 0.5 m intervals. Duplicate samples (‘REASCOMP’ in Cameco database) are submitted at a nominal 1 in 20. For these samples, core is cut lengthways and adjacent ‘matching’ quarters are submitted for analysis. Heterogeneous core is avoided.

Drill Logging codes are summarised in the following Appendix 1.

Appendix 1: Drill Logging Codes

Analytical Methods

Core and outcrop samples are routinely submitted to Northern Territory Environmental Laboratories Pty Ltd (NTEL) in Darwin for sample preparation and multi-element analysis (G400 and G950 analyses; refer to Appendix 2 and Appendix 3). A split of each pulp was submitted to North Australian Laboratories Pty Ltd in Pine Creek for Au, Pt and Pd analysis using Fire Assay with an ICPMS or ICPOES finish (either method is suitable). In total, four separate methods were used to analyse up to 65 elements and four isotopes as follows. In the initial batches of samples, SiO₂ was also determined from a peroxide fusion digest, in order to get more accurate data than the present method of calculating silica from the other major elements and LOI. However these analyses appeared to be no more accurate than those calculated (i.e. total majors were 100% +/- 5%), so analyses for SiO₂ were abandoned.

Appendix 2: Sample preparation at NTEL analytical facility

Appendix 3: Analytical procedures used by NTEL

Prior to 2005 basement samples and sandstone samples were prepared separately by different methods. However due to problems with repeatability in the sandstone data (Garnett, 2005), a total preparation method for all samples was considered the preferred option. NTEL installed a preparation facility in early 2005 and this is now routinely used for all samples (refer to Appendix 2). Basement and sandstone samples are generally still submitted in separate batches to minimise risk of contamination in sandstone samples from the generally higher background levels of many elements in the basement samples. Sample preparation at NTEL involves initial drying at 110°C. The entire sample is crushed to a nominal 2 mm in a Boyd Crusher, then divided using a Rotary Sample Divider to give a ~300-400 g split. The split is milled in a Whisper ring mill to a nominal 75 µm. The material used on the crushing surfaces was selected to be free of contaminant trace metals (the major contaminant is iron) and this was confirmed in tests conducted by Cameco prior to submitting field samples. The Boyd Crusher is flushed with barren blue metal and the ring mills are flushed with garnet sand before and after each sample. The RSD is vacuum cleaned.

The pulp is digested using a mixed acid digest (G400 nitric, hydrochloric, perchloric and hydrofluoric) with a double dehydration with perchloric acid in a Teflon beaker. The digest is read for a suite of elements listed in Appendix 4 including total U, Th, Pb- isotopes chalcophile and rare-earth elements (REE) using either ICPMS (G400M) or ICPOES (G400I) depending on the element. LOI is measured at 1000°C. Boron is measured following peroxide fusion digest. A portion of each sample is then subject to a weak acid leach (Method G950), which is a dilute nitric acid digest. The sample is read using ICPMS for labile uranium and lead isotopes. It is important to note that with these weak partial leaches the data should not be used in an absolute sense, as the analyses are sensitive to length of time the sample is left in the digest and a small speck of uraninite in the sample will result in a very high result, that might not be repeatable and should only be used relatively as ratios.

Appendix 4: Analytical suite for Cameco samples

Major and Minor Element Geochemical Interpretation Methodology

The Refractory Uranium Index or 'RUI' (nominally $U/Zr \cdot 100$) is used in this report as a guide to how much uranium is contained in the principal refractory uraniferous silicate phase (zircon) in sandstone, basalt, dolerite and granite (the main rock types in the tenement). This ratio is generally consistent in any given homogeneous rock unit, unless uranium is present as: (i) non-refractory magmatic or hydrothermal uranium-bearing minerals (e.g. uraninite, pitchblende, torbernite); (ii) a lattice component of other silicate phases (e.g. allanite, feldspar, apatite, monazite, baddeleyite) or; (iii) compounds or ligands adsorbed to grain boundaries and clays. These accessory minerals are absent or insignificant in most samples, and RUI is considered a good proxy for U_G950 (weak acid leach or 'labile' uranium) or can be used effectively in conjunction with this analysis type. If a sample exhibits an RUI above background for its particular rock unit, it is deemed anomalous. Importantly, this ratio is

essentially unchanged by the partial dissolution of zircon by hydrothermal fluids.

Loss on Ignition (LOI), SiO₂, K₂O, CaO, Al₂O₃, combined Fe₂O₃ & MgO, and a variety of elemental ratios (e.g. RUI, Fe/Mg and Gd/La) are used to ascertain rock types, particularly with RAB or RC chips. In the case of regolith or strongly altered samples, careful interpretation of various geochemical signatures has enabled discrimination of metasedimentary, sandstone, dolerite, amphibolite and granite. To assist this process, Cameco also integrates petrographic studies and PIMA data.

The various elemental concentrations and ratios also act as a gauge of the type and total hydrous aluminosilicate component in the rocks, which enables an accurate assessment of alteration mineralogy and provides quality control for the PIMA data. These geochemical analyses, together with the petrography, RUI and PIMA, permit the assessment of the geochemical behaviour of the rock system during deposition and diagenesis. This, in turn, enables the delineation of alteration systems, which could be associated with uranium mineralisation.

Total carbon is the total calculated weight of carbon present in a rock, in all forms (graphite and organic). Generally, the amount of residual carbon (i.e. graphite or kerogen) present in a metasedimentary rock is proportionately less than the original organic carbon content, as much of the organic material is liberated during metamorphism.

Lead Isotope Interpretation Methodology

²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁶Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb and ²⁰⁸Pb are routinely determined by Cameco as a means of vectoring uranium transport (Holk et al., 2003). Three of the four common isotopes of lead can be produced by the decay of uranium and thorium. ²⁰⁴Pb is the only lead isotope not influenced by these radiogenic processes. ²⁰⁶Pb is the final daughter product of the decay of ²³⁸U whilst ²⁰⁷Pb is produced during the decay of ²³⁵U. The decay of ²³²Th is responsible for production of ²⁰⁸Pb. For a rock containing uranium and/or thorium, the concentrations of these isotopes are gradually increasing with time while the amount of ²⁰⁴Pb held within the rock remains constant.

The uranium and thorium isotopes responsible for the gradual increase in the concentrations of radiogenic lead with time have variable decay rates. ²³⁸U has a half-life of 4.47 billion years and ²³⁵U has a half-life of only 0.70 billion years. For thorium, the half-life of ²³²Th is 14.01 billion years. These differences in decay rates make it possible to determine fluid history related to radioactive and radiogenic isotopes as follows.

1. Increasing radiogenic lead concentrations through the decay of uranium- and thorium-bearing minerals (closed-system scenario).
2. Post-mineralization precipitation of radiogenic lead during interaction with basinal fluids and volatiles that have leached lead from uranium or thorium-rich sources (open-system scenario).

3. The delineation of exploration vectors that may point toward economic uranium deposits.

The general parameters determined for sandstone analysis are:

$^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ – a monitor of the influence of uranium on the system.

- $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ between 0.95 and 1.15
Indicates no addition of radiogenic lead from the decay of uranium.
- $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ between 0.80 and 0.95
Typical range of isotopic ratio for system equilibrated with ‘typical’ crust.
- $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ between 0.4 and 0.80
Anomalously low ratio indicates contribution of uranium-source lead to system.
- $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} < 0.40$
Extremely low isotopic ratio indicates major addition of lead formed by the radioactive decay of uranium to rock.

$^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ – parameter that determines the relative influence of thorium versus uranium in lead isotopic system

- $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} > 2.5$
Thorium is dominant element contributing lead to system through radioactive decay.
- $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ between 1.60 and 2.50
Range of isotopic ratio for system equilibrated with ‘typical’ crust.
- $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ between 0.5 and 1.60
Greater contribution of uranium-product lead to system.
- $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} < 0.50$
Uranium is dominant (and probably only) radioactive element contributing to system.

Reflectance Spectroscopy (PIMA)

Reflectance spectroscopy (PIMA) analysis is completed using the PIMA II short-wave infrared spectrometer on all drill core and outcrop samples collected (Appendix 5). This instrument measures the reflected energy from a sample in the short wave infrared (SWIR) region of the energy spectrum. The sampling area on the rock specimen that is measured is permanently marked. Multiple measurements are occasionally taken, particularly if variations in spectral features are noted. The spectra are converted to an ASCII format and processed using “The Spectral Geologist” (TSG) developed by [AusSpec International](#). TSG is routinely used to process all spectral data. The SWIR spectra, once

processed, provide a mineral identification utilising internal software pattern matching algorithms called 'The Spectral Assistant' (TSA).

Appendix 5: PIMA and ASD methodology

ASD Reflectance Spectroscopy

Cameco purchased and begun using an Analytical Spectral Device Inc. – Terraspec instrument (ASD) for routine reflectance spectral analysis during 2005. The ASD records similar information to the Integrated Spectronics PIMA instrument, but with a wider wavelength range from the visible through to near infra-red (350nm to 2500nm). The advantage of the ASD is the speed of acquisition of the spectra; a reading every 10 seconds, and the wider spectral range. The spectra are processed using the same methodology as PIMA, with mineral matching processing using The Spectral Geologist software and The Spectral Assistant algorithms.

OUTCROP SAMPLE PROCESSING PROCEDURES

Outcrop samples are routinely divided into two approximately equal halves (Appendix 6). One half, (> 400 g) is used for geochemical analysis (see below). The remainder is used to visually estimate grain-size, friability and colour, and measure spectral parameters using the PIMA II spectrometer. This sample is retained within the Cameco storage facility in Darwin for reference, but can also be used as a repeat geochemical sample in the event of a lost or erroneous analysis. Small portions of selected samples are sent for thin sectioning, with or without petrographic description (Pontifex & Associates). Duplicate samples are submitted for geochemical analysis at a nominal 1 in 20.

Appendix 6: Outcrop Sample Procedures