



Petroleum Exploration Reports

This file contains scanned images of hardcopy reports/data submitted to the Northern Territory Government under Petroleum Legislation.

Bringing Forward Discovery

This information is made available to assist future petroleum explorers and may be distributed freely.

Scanning information

The quality of the scan reflects the condition of the original hardcopy report/data.

InfoCentre

Call:	+61 8 8999 6443
Click:	geoscience.info@nt.gov.au www.minerals.nt.gov.au
Visit:	3 rd floor Centrepoint Building Smith Street Mall Darwin Northern Territory 0800



BRINGING FORWARD DISCOVERY IN AUSTRALIA'S NORTHERN TERRITORY A09-093.indd

1995 SANDY CREEK SEISMIC SURVEY EP66 NORTHERN TERRITORY

for

AMITY OIL N.L

by

GeoSystems Pty Ltd

October 1995

PR 1 9 G Meetry with T. Groke. 23/10/95 O -0083 **OPENFILE** ONSHORE

Report by: TERENCE GROCKE SAAGEX PTY LTD

TECHNICAL REPORT NO ATO 012

T

AMITY OIL N.L. 1995 SANDY CREEK SEISMIC SURVEY

EP66 N.T.

TABLE of CONTENTS

CONTENTS	Page	
INTRODUCTION	1	
CONTRACTORS	1	
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT		
PERMITTING and LAND ACCESS LIAISON		
LOGISTICS. ACCESS. CAMP. FUEL. WATER. FRESH FOOD. AIRSTRIP. COMMUNICATIONS		
SAFETY HEALTH & HYGIENE	4	
LINE CLEARANCE	5	
SURVEY	6	
UPHOLES	7	
RECORDING. INSTRUMENT TESTS. Vibrator Tests. Geophone tests. FIELD PARAMETERS. RECORDING PRODUCTION DATA QUALITY. FIELD DATA PROCESSING	8 9 10	
GENERAL REMARKS	11	
RECORDING PARAMETER LIST		
PERSONNEL LIST		
EQUIPMENT LIST		

ENCLOSURES

SOURCE & RECEIVER ARRAY DIAGRAMS

DAILY REPORTS

RECORDING STATISTICS

COLOUR PHOTOGRAPHS

DIARY. Author's journal of events.

FIELD PROCESSED SEISMIC SECTIONS (Plastic pocket)

1995 SANDY CREEK SEISMIC SURVEY

4 401m ACI

AMITY OIL N.L.

SURVEY

Chaining was done by a GeoSystems team. They used a measured steel chain to locate 20m interval Vibrator points/geophone stations were marked with wire/plastic "pin flags", with station station pegs. numbers marked in ink upon the plastic flag.

The plastic pin flags were recovered upon completion of the survey. Proposed future uphole locations were marked with 5' long wooden garden stakes.

Permanent markers were located at the beginning and end of every line and at intersections with other lines. The permanent markers consisted of a 2m steel witness mark (star picket) adjacent a ground level steel Bench Mark. Bolted to the witness mark was an aluminium tag upon which was stamped line number, station number and, if any, intersection details. Both the peg and the witness mark were cemented in place.

Horizontal positioning and ASL elevation values were determined by Realtime Differential Global Positioning System (RDGPS). The RDGPS service was provided by Dynamic Satellite Services (DSS) subcontracted to GeoSystems.

DSS provided a surveyor, ANDREW WHITE, and the following equipment:

ASHTECH single frequency GPS receivers. 2

NOVATEL single frequency GPS receiver. 1

GeoSystems provided a Toyota 4 x 4 ute.

The area of the survey is in AMG zone 52, central meridian being 129° east.

Horizontal values were provided in AGD 84 (Australian Geodetic Datum) and UTM projection, vertical values to Australian Height Datum (AHD).

The Datum for the 1995 seismic survey was Government control point;

55.30m ASL North 8,293,043.597m 494,947.607m East S029 No reliable government survey control existed in the vicinity of the 1995 seismic. Control had to be "carried" from S029 for some distance (approx 80km) along the Kununurra - Legune road.

Typical misclosure to	1988 seismic as follow	vs:	
· 7 P · · · · ·			 0.07

BNT88-514 VP 608	East 13.070m	North	3.670m	-1.42111 AOL
	East 13.720m	North	4 410m	0.886m ASL
BNT88-505 VP1700	East 15.72011	EAQUAL C		

The above misclosure values show the 1988 seismic survey, using EDM and theodolite, to be reasonably accurate and hence the 1995 seismic, using S029 as datum and RDGPS, reasonably close to the 1988 work considering the remote area of operations and lack of control.

Horizontal and vertical values were recorded at grade changes and/or at 100m intervals, at intersections and at Permanent Markers. Horizontal value readings along seismic lines also checked for gross error due to possible chainage mistakes.

Dynamic Satellite Surveys to cover SURVEY technical detail in their independent report to be presented to GeoSystems.

SAAGEX

6

UPHOLES

A multiple uphole survey was planned to coincide with this seismic survey. GeoSystems subcontracted M & M DRILLERS PTY LTD of Derby, W.A.

Uphole drilling was planned to commence soon after line clearance but breakdowns delayed the arrival of the contractor on site.

The contractor arrived Sunday, 8 October. The drill rig had no mud circulation drilling capacity. Although fitted with a relatively big compressor, 900 cfm at 150psi, it had no mud circulation pump was not equipped to drill in the flood plain silt.

Two attempts at two locations failed to get open hole to 15m. One hole was attempted at the intersection of lines SC95-06 x SC95-04, and one at intersection SC95-04 x SC95-05. At both locations the drill, using its big air and some foam injection, reached about 15m and lost returns. The compressor laboured and pumped 900 psi compressed air into the soupy silt formation at 900 cubic feet per minute. When the drill pipe was withdrawn the formation released its air pressure and forced the fine sloppy silt to surface in big flatulent bubbles for several hours.

Attempts to load the downhole geophone tool failed.

The driller, Brian McGaffin, readily admitted he was not equipped to drill in these conditions and that a mud/rotary drill was required. It was Brian's intention, he said, to use his mud/rotary drill but the drill had been recently lost in a fire.

M&M Drillers departed the area about noon Monday 9th October.

Another driller, Lee Weppner, was interviewed by the author in Kununurra on Tuesday 10th October. Weppner also had no mud circulating capacity and was sure his equipment could not handle the conditions described.

At the time of writing no upholes had been drilled and hence seismic data processing had no near surface velocity control points on the 1995 lines.

7

RECORDING

RECORDING INSTRUMENT TESTS

The data acquisition contractor, GeoSystems Pty Ltd, proved a sign bit, telemetry recording system which for the purpose of this survey, was using 240 active receiver channels, hence 15 Array Terminal Units ("ATs" or "boxes") with 16 receiver groups per box. As A/D conversion, amplification and filtering is done in the array terminal, tests were conducted on 30 ATs at random from the crew's inventory of 50 units. The box checks tests the ATU for:

Continuity of signal start time,

Harmonic distortion of digitised analogue signal,

Cross feed,

A/D accuracy,

Signal/Noise ratio across 16 channels

Pre-amp and fixed gain amplifier consistency.

The tests are analysed by the Geocor computer and a graphic plot provides the operator with visual aids to identify "pass" and "fail".

These tests were conducted on Thursday 5th October while receiver spread was being deployed on line SC95-02. The 30 random boxes checked achieved a 100% "pass" rate.

Vibrator tests

Vibrators were subject to Hardwire Similarity tests once during this short survey. The Pelton electronics and VibraSig vibrator QC system provided continuous monitoring of vibrator performance by VHF radio interrogation. Every production sweep is analysed by VibraSig and graphically displayed on a colour computer screen in real time. Within the set sweep specifications the VibraSig QC system gives each sweep a "pass" or "fail". The continuous tests are analysed statistically and recorded on floppy disk for future reference.

Geophone tests.

Geophones were new in April 1995 and at the time of this survey remained in very good condition. No specific start up tests were conducted on geophones. Every active group of 'phones was routinely checked for polarity and signal to noise ratio during recording. A dead or noisy receiver group detected on the shot record monitor was manually checked in the field. During this survey the new geophone equipment was the source of very few problems.

Cables were well worn and had two repairers working full time in camp. The crews large inventory of cables provided plenty of spares. Very little down time was caused by faulty cables and/or 'phones.

AMITY OIL N.L.

RECORDING cont;

FIELD RECORDING PARAMETERS

Recording parameters for the 1995 survey were based on parameters used successfully in the area in 1988.

The receiver spread consisted of 240 active groups of 'phones at 20m group intervals, 6 phones per group, 3:33m element spacing.

To start the first line, SC92-02, there was some confusion over source parameters. Two different written parameter lists were in circulation. One sheet issued by GeoSystems and one sheet from the AMITY / GeoSystems contract. Part of line SC95-02 was recorded using a 10-80Hz sweep. This was corrected to 10-100Hz and correction annotated on the observers log. The change occurred at VP 338.

The source effort consisted of 3 x 40,000lb vibrators in line, 10m pad to pad, using two 8 second, 10-100Hz linear upsweeps, 10m move up between sweeps. This array permitted continuous 10m move up for continuous operation. Again a correction was made during the recording of line SC95-02, from "standing" array (no move up) to moving array as described above.

For more detail on recording parameters see PARAMETER SHEET, following page, and SOURCE & RECEIVER ARRAY DIAGRAMS enclosed.

RECORDING PRODUCTION

The AMITY / GeoSystems contract was a production based agreement with the contractor being paid by kilometre. Production hours were recorded for statistical purposes only."

Production recording commenced late afternoon 5th October.

The entire 52.48 surface kilometres was recorded in 44 hours. Recording parameters were relatively efficient. Actual record time (record + recorder move) amounted to 76% of total field time. Terrain was flat with only minor delays due to fences. Time required to deploy receiver spread on the first day, move from line to line (5 moves) and to recover receiver spread at the end of the job amounted to 5 hours, or 11.40% of total time. The overall production rate was 1.21 kilometres per hour.

Sunday 8th October saw 763 profiles and 15.260 surface kilometres recorded. The field crew moved 763 groups of geophones and applied 6104 seconds of source effort in 10.25 hours. This amounted to 1.24 groups (geophones + cables + array terminals) a minute; an impressive display of efficiency, coordination and physical effort.

For a detailed analysis of recording production see RECORDING STATISTICS enclosed.

SAAGEX

RECORDING cont:

DATA QUALITY

Data quality judgments based of field records would at best nominate "poor to fair" for all of this survey.⁻ The field data processing enabled a view of high fold processed section which clearly indicated quite good quality data was being acquired. The field processed stacks indicated coherent seismic events around 400 msec twt and, in the deeper section, from 1 second down to 2 seconds twt.

Hence overall data quality across the survey should perhaps be judged "fair to good" and after further processing possibly "good to very good".

See examples of field processed section enclosed.

FIELD DATA PROCESSING

Each evening the field data tapes were copied to a compact Exabyte format and also processed to a "brute stack" stage with or without surface elevation statics. Field data processing is an essential quality control measure for sign bit recording.

See above, under DATA QUALITY, for the contribution made by Field Data Processing to this project. Data quality could not be confidently assessed from individual field record monitors. As the raw data acquired each day was processed overright objective judgments as its integrity and quality were possible.

SAAGEX

10

#240

2,390m.

AMITY OIL N.L.

RECORDING PARAMETERS

CONTRACTOR: GEOSYSTEMS Pty Ltd BLOCK: EP66 (N.T.) SURVEY: 1995 SANDY CREEK Seismic Survey LINES: SC95-01 to SC95-06 **RECORDING: INSTRUMENTS:** GEOSYSTEMS, GEOCOR IV.

RECORD LENGTH: SAMPLE RATE: GAIN CONSTANT: TAPE FORMAT/DENSITY: CORRELATION TYPE: FILTERS/SLOPE RECORDING CHANNELS: 4 seconds 2 milliseconds. (500 samples per second) 142 dB fixed gain. SEG-Y, 1600 b.p.i. Zero phase. NiL 240 active data traces.

SOURCE

TYPE: 2 x LITTON 40,000 lb. servo hydraulic vibrators. **ELECTRONICS:** PELTON "ADVANCE 2" Model 5 SWEEP FREQUENCY/FUNCTION: 10 - 100 Hz. Linear up-sweep. SWEEPS/VP: 2 sweeps. SOURCE ARRAY: 3 Vibrators, 10m pad to pad 2 x 8 second, 10 -100 Hz sweeps

DRIVE LEVEL: COSINE TAPER

RECEIVER

MANUFACTURER, MODEL:

95% nominal. 0.200 seconds either end of sweep.

SENSOR 10 Hz. 6 'phones per string.

FIELD PARAMETERS

RECEIVER SPREAD Trace #1 #120 SOURCE #121 GEOMETRY: 2,390m. -10m. - 00 10m. CENTRE GAP: Nil gap. SOURCE ARRAY: 3 Vibs. in line, 10m pad to pad, 10m move up between sweeps. **RECEIVER ARRAY:** 6 'phones over 20m. 3.33 m. element spacing. RECEIVER GROUP INTERVAL: 20m. SOURCE POINT INTERVAL: 20m. **RECEIVER LOCATION:** Symmetrical between station station peg. SOURCE LOCATION: Symmetrical on station pegs. CDP COVERAGE: 120 fold. **REMARKS:**

SAAGEX