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Figure 4.1  Regional Fact Geology Map (Regional solid geology showing tenements in relation to the Ngalia Basin (P10, O, D and C). Arunta Block comprises units A5 and A6 comprising deformed and metamorphosed supracrustal sequences and a variety of granitoid gneiss and intrusive granites (g5, g6, g7, g8). Also shown are sites of significant U-mineralisation [Napperby (New Well), Walbiri and Bigrlyi].

Figure 4.2  Tenement EL 29205 surface geology and U²/Th radiometrics.
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SUMMARY

Pacific Exploration Pty Ltd ("Pacific") acquired three uranium tenements in southwestern Northern Territory (EL 29205) for exploring uranium resources. The transfer (D93491) to Wuhua Mining Corporation Pty Ltd ("Wuhua") (100%) from Pacific Exploration Pty Ltd has been approved in June 2013. Historically, no sampling or drilling was conducted on EL 29205.

The regional geology comprises the polymetamorphic Palaeoproterozoic Arunta Block and the Neoproterozoic to Carboniferous Ngalia Basin. Exploration Licence EL 29205 is located within the foothills of the Reynolds Range, east and northeast of a uranium field - Ngalia Basin. This area has the potential to host not only surficial and/or sandstone style uranium mineralisation probably accumulated in palaeovalleys, but also metasomatite/vein style uranium mineralisation developed in the granitic rocks.

Historical uranium exploration activity in the southwestern area identified uranium anomalies in stream sediment samples, indicating significant uranium potentials of the licence. These uranium potentials are probably hosted in the palaeovalleys drained the U-sourced Reynolds Range and discharged into Ngalia Basin. Tenement EL 29205 is mostly covered by surficial material. Calcrete is developed in the Warburton Creek drainage system and may be prospective for surficial style calcrete uranium mineralisation with a more significant response on the southwestern border of the tenement. Along the southwestern border of the tenement, however, an elevated $U^2/Th$ radiometric response was found within the Napperby Gneiss, probably of vein/metamotite style mineralisation investigated by PNC Exploration.

The desktop study of EL 29205 for the uranium exploration has been completed. An interpreted overview and technical proposal of the geological, geophysical and tectonic setting for exploration of the Napperby (Reynolds Range and Ngalia Basin, Northern Territory) uranium has been reported. This preliminary geoscientific study aims to investigate the geophysical and geological expression of structures related uranium mineralisation and its relationship with possible palaeodrainage landforms by interpreting available remote sensing and geophysical data. These studies will assist exploration in the Napperby area (particularly Reynolds Range and Ngalia Basin) and provide fundamental data for increasing knowledge of geological processes and landscape evolution within this region. Currently, however, the limited geoscientific data could provide preliminary information for applying some more techniques for exploring potential uranium anomaly sites in the area.

Delineation of these subsurface signatures is proposed for further investigation by applying effective methods, such as remote sensed night-time thermal imagery and airborne electromagnetic survey.
1. **INTRODUCTION**

Pacific Exploration Pty Ltd (“Pacific”) acquired three uranium tenements in southwestern Northern Territory (EL 29202, EL 29205, and EL 29221) and is developing the Napperby Uranium Exploration Project. The transfer (D93491) to Wuhua Mining Corporation Pty Ltd (“Wuhua”) (100%) from Pacific Exploration Pty Ltd has been approved in June 2013. The schedule of rent and expenditure for the tenement EL 29205 is summarised in Table 1. This tenement is located in the Reynolds Range - Ngalia Basin region (Figures 1.1 and 2.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenement ID</th>
<th>Tenement ID</th>
<th>Expires</th>
<th>Area km²</th>
<th>1st Year Rent</th>
<th>1st Year Expenditure</th>
<th>2nd Year Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>4/07/2011</td>
<td>3/07/2018</td>
<td>44.51</td>
<td>$448</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.1 Regional Location Map showing “Wuhua” uranium project ELs. EL 29205 is located in the central part of the map.
The Ngalia Basin contains many uranium occurrences, but the onshore basin in comparison to other geological provinces in the Northern Territory largely remains under explored. The previous investigation shows that the radiometric anomalies and particularly their relationship with potential palaeovalleys present within the Project areas still remain uninvestigated. The radiometric anomalies are associated with the favourable lithologies which have the potential to hosted uranium deposits found in this area of Northern Territory, namely the rocks of the Lander Rock beds, Wangala Granite, Napperby Gneiss and sedimentary sequences of Ngalia Basin and associated palaeovalleys.

In terms of both regional and project scales structure and palaeolandform the Project areas are ideally situated for sandstone and surfacial, as well as vein/ metasomatite style uranium deposits. The uranium potentials in the Project areas could form in a number of ways:

1) Vein/ metasomatite style uranium deposits formed from re-mobilisation of uranium (a highly mobile element) from older sources such as the Lander Rock beds, Wangala Granite, Napperby Gneiss.

2) If any structures occur (possibly given the basement rocks), then reactivation of these structures over a long period of time could result in forming the vein/ metasomatite style uranium mineralisation.

3) If any paleodrainage systems occur (possibly limited given the young age of the basin), then reactivation of these palaeorivers over a long period of time could result in the re-deposition of the basement derived uranium into the palaeovalleys/lakes, forming sandston and/or surfacial uranium deposits. Suitable aquifers may be present, allowing for the long term movement of possible uranium bearing groundwater to depositional sites.

A technical study was completed by Pacific Exploration Pty Ltd to investigate uranium potentials based on a desktop study completed by Coffey Mining Pty Ltd (2013), and interpretation of geological and geophysical data as well as historical exploration reports. Recommendations for the comprehensive prospectivity and exploration techniques (e.g., night-time therm imagery of ASTER and Airborne Electromagnetic) have been provided. The interpretation of the magnetic, radiometric and morphology, based on the current data, thus formed a basis for uranium exploration in the project area, and further investigation into the geomorphology, sedimentology and landscape evolution of the project area and associated uranium mineralization is necessary.
2. LOCATION AND ACCESS

EL 29205 is located approximately 70km east of the township of YUENDUMU; extending from the vicinity of Mount Denison homestead in the northwest to Napperby homestead in the southeast (Figure 2.2). The licence occurs in the Reynolds Range - Ngalia Basin region, within the foothills of the Reynolds Range (Figure 2.1). The geology is illustrated by the NAPPERBY 1:250,000 geological mapsheet. Access within the three tenement areas is convenient to station tracks extending from a number places (Figure 2.2). The majority of the tenement is covered by various thicknesses of regolith cover and acacia trees and bush / grass undergrowth.

Figure 2.1 NAPPERBY 1:250,000 geological mapsheet showing relationships of Reynolds Range, Ngalia Basin and “Wuhua” uranium project ELs. EL 29205 is located in the top central part of the map.
Figure 2.2 Landsat ETM7 realistic_123 over DTM imagery showing relationships of landscapes, roads, locality and EL 29205.
3. PREVIOUS EXPLORATION

A review of the historical exploration conducted over EL 29205 was completed by identifying the historical tenement that overlapped with the current tenement (Table 2).

Table 2 Summary of Historical Tenements in the EL 29205 Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Tenement</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Granted</th>
<th>Ceased</th>
<th>Area km²</th>
<th>Overlaps</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL 1317</td>
<td>Central Pacific Minerals</td>
<td>19/04/1978</td>
<td>19/04/1980</td>
<td>1273.19</td>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>Uranium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL 2341</td>
<td>BHP Minerals</td>
<td>27/01/1981</td>
<td>26/01/1984</td>
<td>1113.43</td>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>Diamonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL 3488</td>
<td>BHP Minerals</td>
<td>19/04/1982</td>
<td>18/04/1984</td>
<td>809.61</td>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>Diamonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL 7343</td>
<td>Poseidon Gold</td>
<td>30/04/1991</td>
<td>29/04/2001</td>
<td>912.79</td>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>Base metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL 9672</td>
<td>Mr Norm Cleary</td>
<td>25/11/1996</td>
<td>8/12/2003</td>
<td>1551.78</td>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>Gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL 23923</td>
<td>Tanami Exploration</td>
<td>1/06/2004</td>
<td>4/07/2011</td>
<td>1177.11</td>
<td>EL 29205</td>
<td>Uranium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Uranium Exploration

**EL 1317 (20 April 1977 to 19 April 1980 - Yuendumu Mining Company NL and Central Pacific Minerals NL):**

EL 1317 was granted to Yuendumu Mining Company NL and Central Pacific Minerals NL on 20 April 1977 to explore for uranium and base metals. The tenement covers 1,206km² and completely overlaps EL 29205, which is located in the eastern portion of EL 1317. In the NT tenement GIS database this tenement has been incorrectly labelled as EL 1316.

Yuendumu and Central Pacific conducted a car-borne radiometric survey over all of the station tracks within the tenement, totalling 303.4 line-kilometres. Readings were taken every 100m from a floor-mounted Scintrex BGS-IS scintillometer travelling at approximately 20km/h. No uranium mineralisation was identified. Exploration then focussed on the B.I.G. tin and tungsten Prospect in the far north east of EL 1317.

In mid-1978 Yuendumu and Central Pacific entered into an agreement with Australia and New Zealand Exploration Company (ANZECO) to allow them to explore for tungsten and uranium. ANZECO field checked unraniferous prospects; Quartz Hill associated with apatite-bearing schists and granite, and Pinnacle Dam associated with granite (neither are within EL 29205) and completed a petrological evaluation of the rocks in the area.

ANZECO concluded that while the rocks generally contained anomalous amounts of uranium, there was little evidence of alteration and concentrating mechanisms required to mobilise, transport and fix uranium in economic grades and quantities. Similarly, despite high background tungsten values, no prospective areas of economic mineralisation were identified.
EL 23923 (1 June 2004 to 31 May 2010 - Tanami Exploration):

EL 23923 was a very large tenement covering 1,177km². It overlapped all three tenements of interest and was granted to Tanami Exploration NL (a wholly owned subsidiary of Tanami Gold NL) on 1 June 2004 to target palaeochannel hosted uranium mineralisation. Exploration related to the current tenement areas comprised reprocessing geophysical data and regional regolith mapping. Results from the geophysical survey were used to determine which blocks were to be dropped. On 31 May 2007 the area coincident with EL 29202 was part of a compulsory relinquishment. The retained areas were then 100% transferred to Deep Yellow Ltd in August 2007 and correspond to the current EL 29205 and the northern portion of EL 29221.

Exploration over the relinquished blocks included; review of open file exploration data, reconnaissance field trips, Night Time Thermal Infrared (NTI) data interpretation and Airborne Electromagnetic survey. A number of palaeochannels were identified from the NTI interpretation coinciding with the current tenements of interest. No further information was available.

3.2 Other Commodities


EL 2341 was granted to BHP on 27 January 1981, primarily to explore for diamonds but also to assess the base metal potential. The tenement covered 1,113km² and overlaps with a significant portion of EL 29205. BHP conducted reconnaissance heavy mineral stream sediment sampling, predominantly within the Reynolds Ranges, and a 4km square grid ground magnetometer survey upstream from a sample containing a kimberlitic indicator mineral. None of the samples were collected within EL 29205. Further diamond exploration continued in the southern portion of EL 2341. Kimberlitic indicators identified in the initial sampling could not be replicated in subsequent sampling and were later considered spurious. BHP determined that no further work was warranted and the tenement was surrendered on 29 June 1983.

EL 3488 (19 April 1982 to 15 November 1983 - BHP Minerals Ltd):

EL 3488, which overlaps with the southern portion of EL 29205, was granted to BHP on 19 April 1982, primarily to explore for diamonds and also assess the potential for base metals. Exploration included collecting loam samples, which were analysed for kimberlitic indicators. All the samples came back negative. No sampling was undertaken within EL 29205. BHP relinquished the tenement on 15 November 1983.

EL 7343 (5 April 1991 to 15 March 1993 - Poseidon Gold Ltd):

EL 7343 was granted to Poseidon Gold Ltd on 5 April 1991 and covered 912km². The western boundary of EL 7343 overlapped the majority of EL 29205. Poseidon’s exploration focus was structurally controlled, lead, zinc, copper, silver and gold within the Lower to Mid Proterozoic metamorphosed units of the Arunta Orogenic Domain.
Exploration included regional reconnaissance stream sampling, lag and rock chip sampling, RAB drilling, and an aeromagnetic and radiometric survey. No sampling was undertaken within EL 29205. The tenement blocks overlapping EL 29205 were included in a partial relinquishment on 29 May 1994.

**EL 9277 (3 October 1995 to 21 August 1998 - Normandy Gold Pty Ltd):**

PosGold Ltd was granted EL 9277 the 3 October 1995, covering 219km². It overlapped all but the southern four blocks of EL 29205. In late 1996 PosGold was wholly merged with its parent company Normandy Mining Ltd, which subsequently changed its name to Normandy Gold Pty Ltd (Normandy). The exploration target was structurally controlled gold mineralisation within Lander Rock Beds. A few gravity station measurements were collected within EL 29205 and Normandy surrendered EL 9277 on 21 August 1998.

**EL 9672 (25 November 1996 to 24 November 2002 - Mr Norm McCleary):**

EL 9672 was granted to Mr Norm McCleary on 25 November 1996 and was subject to a joint venture agreement between Mr Cleary and Homestake Gold of Australia (Homestake), where Homestake was entitled to earn 80% interest. EL 9672 covered an area of 1548km² and overlaps with the southernmost portion of EL 29205 and northern portion of EL29221. The exploration focus was for gold. The blocks coincident with the current tenements were dropped as part of a partial relinquishment on 24 November 1998.

### 3.3 Summary of Exploration Results

A total of 7 historical tenements were reviewed with an emphasis on uranium exploration. Historical tenements were also granted to explore for; gold, base metals and diamonds. Figure 3.1 shows the focus of sampling and drilling was predominantly in the Reynolds Ranges to the north and northeast of EL 29205 of interest. No sampling or drilling was conducted on EL 29205.

Significant historical anomalies are the Corrigan tin and tungsten anomaly (ANZECO, EL 1316) and the Napperby Creek U prospect both just outside the current tenements (PNC Exploration, EL 8411; Figure 3.1).

Many companies identified uranium anomalies in stream sediment samples and radiometric surveys but generally the samples collected showed the source rocks had high background values and no economic mineralisation was identified.
Figure 3.1 Summary of historical sampling and collar locations in the Wuhua Mining Corporation Pty Ltd tenement area.
4. **GEOLOGY**

4.1 **Regional Geology**

The crustal architecture of the region can be broadly subdivided into the Palaeo- to Mesoproterozoic Arunta Block and the Neoproterozoic to Carboniferous Ngalia Basin (Figure 4.1). The Arunta Block represents a complex amalgamated orogenic terrane that is represented by three shear bounded tectonic provinces, The Northern, Central and Southern Provinces (Stewart et al., 1984; Shaw et al., 1984). The Southern Province is an amphibolite facies terrane comprising granitic gneiss. The Central Province is a granulite facies terrane dominated by felsic granulite. The Northern Province comprises low grade supracrustal metasediments of amphibolite and greenschist facies. Both the Northern and Southern Province are intruded by voluminous granite whereas the Central Province is relatively granite free.

![Regional Fact Geology Map](image-url)

Figure 4.1 Regional Fact Geology Map (Regional solid geology showing tenements in relation to the Ngalia Basin (P10, O, D and C). Arunta Block comprises units A5 and A6 comprising deformed and metamorphosed supracrustal sequences and a variety of granitoid gneiss and intrusive granites (g5, g6, g7, g8). Also shown are sites of significant U-mineralisation [Napperby (New Well), Walbiri and Bigrlyi].
The Ngalia Basin, lying south and southwest of the tenement EL 29205 area, forms an elongate structural basin within the Palaeo- to Mesoproterozoic Arunta Block, preserving a Neoproterozoic to Carboniferous sedimentary sequence with a cumulative thickness of about 5000m (Figure 4.1). The northern margin of the basin is bounded north-dipping thrust faults whereas the southern margin is formed by a gently north dipping unconformity.

Although there are no gazetted uranium occurrences proximal to the tenement areas, the sedimentary (sandstone style) uranium fields of the Ngalia Basin are located ~50km to the southwest of the tenements whilst the sandstone and/or surfacial style uranium resources may occur in the potential palaeovalleys of the project area (Figure 4.1).

4.2 Local (EL 29205) Geology

Tenement EL 29205 is underlain by schistose granitic gneisses of Precambrian age intruded by Middle Proterozoic porphyritic mirogranite. These rocks are overlain to the north and east by the Central Mount Stuart Formation, a sequence of sandstone, subgreywacke, siltstone, tillite, minor arkose and shale. This succession forms part of the early Ngalia Basin sedimentary sequence and immediately underlies the sandstone uranium prospective beds of the Kerridy Sandstone and Mount Eclipse Sandstone Formation. The tenement, however, falls outside the Ngalia Basin and the Palaeozoic successions sandstones that may host sandstone-hosted uranium mineralisation in potential palaeovalleys, although there are no uranium occurrences reported in the tenement EL 29205 block.

The area is blanketed by surficial deposits. Calcrete deposits of 1km in length are reported associated with the Warburton Creek drainage. These may be prospective for calcrete uranium mineralisation with a small U²/Th radiometric response reported from near the mapped calcrete in the northeastern portion of the area and a significant response on the southwestern border of the tenement (Figure 4.2).
Figure 4.2 Tenement EL 29205 surface geology and U²/Th radiometrics.
5. URANIUM MINERALISATION

5.1 Source Rocks of Uranium

The distribution of known uranium resources exhibits a clear spatial relationship with uranium-enriched bedrock in this region. The location of deposits is strongly influenced by the presence of Proterozoic age leachable uranium-rich source rocks in the headwaters of paleodrainages draining into the Ngalia Basin developed in Proterozoic Orogenic Domains (Figure 5.1). Significant uraniferous igneous rocks (containing >10 ppm uranium) formed during the Proterozoic are widespread throughout this region. The felsic granite gneiss and schist of the Arunta Block are significantly enriched in uranium. The Yulyupunya Granite Gneiss (1780Ma) and the Southwark Granite Suite, for example, contain up to 22.5ppm uranium (Young et al. 1995).

![Figure 5.1 Uranium potential in the Ngalia Basin and Amadeus Basin.](image)

5.2 Potential Types of Uranium Mineralisation

Relevance to the “Wuhua” tenement EL 29205 and surrounding area the uranium potentials are the sandstone-hosted, surficial, metasomatite and vein type mineralisation styles. These occurrences fall within the zone of regional uranium potential in the Northern Territory (Lally and Bajwah, 2006) (Figure 5.1). Of which, the sandstone and surficial types of uranium mineralisation can be deposited in paleovalley/lake and defined as any diagenetic/epigenetic concentration of uranium minerals occurring in fluvial, alluvial,
lacustrine, and estuarine sediments. Recent discoveries of paleovalley-related uranium mineralisation (e.g., Afghan Swan deposit in Ngalia Basin of NT) highlight the potential prospectivity of the project area. In the project area examples, uranium mineralisation occurs mainly in and nearby the sedimentary (e.g., Ngalia Basin) basins flanking or overlying Proterozoic rocks known to be enriched in uranium (Figure 5.1).

The uranium fields of the **Amadeus Basin and Ngalia Basin** are associated with the project area, where the sandstone-hosted uranium mineralisation is reported from the Carboniferous Mount Eclipse Sandstone and Kerridy Sandstone Formations of the Ngalia and Amadeus Basins (Figure 5.1).

Some 20 sandstone-hosted uranium occurrences are known from within the Ngalia Basin (Figure 5.2) with significant uranium mineralisation reported at Bigrlyi (7.5Mt at 0.13% U₃O₈, 0.12% V₂O₅) and Walbiri (0.423Mt at 0.16% U₃O₈), and at Angela in the adjacent Amadeus Basin (10.7Mt at 0.13% U₃O₈) (Northern Territory Government Report, March 2013). Recent exploration activity in the Ngalia Basin intersected 5m at 1.33% U₃O₈ at Camel Flat (Energy Metals Ltd), and 7m at 0.14% U₃O₈ at Afghan Swan (Thundelarra Exploration Ltd) (Northern Territory Government Report, March 2013).

Sedimentary rocks of the Ngalia Basin were derived from, and deposited on felsic granite gneiss and schist of the Arunta Block which are significantly enriched in uranium. The Yulyuppyna Granite Gneiss (1780Ma) and the Southwark Granite Suite, for example, contain up to 22.5ppm uranium (Young et al. 1995). Early shallow marine conditions were followed by continental conditions culminating in the Mount Eclipse Sandstone which was deposited in response to tectonic uplift and erosion of the Arunta Block at 350Ma to 370Ma. Importantly as uranium reductant, carbonaceous material is also common with a 7m thick unit of lignite reported (Spark, 1975).

![Figure 5.2 Uranium occurrences in and adjacent to the Ngalia Basin [uranium occurrences shown in blue. Yellow: most recent exploration activity. Advanced or significant underdeveloped occurrences shown with pickaxe. (Northern Territory Government Report, March 2013)].](image-url)
Several occurrences of calcrete-hosted uranium within and to the south of the Ngalia Basin have been identified. The most significant deposit, Napperby (New Well) (Figure 4.1 and Figure 5.2) contain an inferred resource of 9.34Mt U₃O₈ ore at a grade of 0.036% U₃O₈ (Northern Territory Government report, March 2013). Napperby (New Well) is situated in the drainage system of Napperby River and Lake Lewis, a playa lake system (Figure 4.1).

Minor uranium prospects and occurrences categorised as metasomatite and intrusive-type uranium are located within the Aileron and Irindina provinces of the Arunta Region, particularly in the Harts Range, Entia Dome and Reynolds Range areas. The region is under-explored and the possibility exists for further discoveries of these styles of uranium deposits (Lally and Bujwah, 2006). The Nolans Bore mineralisation of fluorapatite occurs in the southeastern part of the Reynolds Range, 13km northwest of Aileron.
6. INTERPRETATION AND URANIUM PROSPECTIVITY

An assessment of the Napperby uranium project for sandstone-hosted, surfacial and metasomatite uranium deposits has been carried out by Coffey Mining Pty Ltd. The assignment was to undertake a compilation of geological, geophysical, topographical and historical open file data over the tenement areas and provide initial recommendations for ongoing exploration.

The current interpretation and discussion are mainly based on the associated public domain geophysical and geological data including radiometrics, aeromagnetics, gravity, and DEM, surface and solid geologies for the project area was acquired from the NTGS, and information reported by Coffey Mining Pty Ltd (2013). Reprocessing of the digital data has enhanced all of the geophysical and geological signatures and has also outlined a number of other subtle features.

6.1 Methodology

Topography (digital elevation models) and Landsat images, magnetic, gravity, and radiometric methods are integrated into this phase on the basis of GIS for an attempt to correlate the features observed from geological maps. This interpreted result derived from the integrated datasets will be tested and improved by application of new drillholes.

All coordinates listed in this report are in map projection MGA94, Zone 53 (GDA94). The targeting process was undertaken as follows:

- Import of the above into ArcGis and sub-setting into different sample types and grade ranges for presentation and analysis.
- Examination of fact geology and surface geochemical results and to provide geological information for targeting.
- Identification of available airborne geophysical and remote sensing data.
- Review of all data mentioned above to identify uranium targets.
- Identification and listing of drilling targets with the potential to contain significant mineralisation.
- Recommendation of effective methods for exploring uranium mineralisation in the project area [Some useful methods (e.g., for mapping palaeovalleys) will be recommended in the following chapter].

Topography – Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

Topography over the tenement EL 29205 is relatively high and hilly, with some high-order drainage systems in the western and northern margins (blue creeks and watercourses) which drain into northern watercourse systems (Figure 6.1). Lower hills are
dominant within the tenement EL 29205. These hills have ferruginous - lateritised have regolith sub-crop near the crest, indicating in-situ.

![Digital Elevation Model (DEM) over surface geology.](image)

Generally, DEM is very effective in the recognition of young (e.g., Cenozoic and/or Mesozoic) potential palaeochannel areas, as the lower topographic zones can reflect areas where some of the softer sediments have been eroded away. Therefore, DEMs can be used as surrogates for mapping the palaeochannels and related features when the modern and palaeo- geomorphologies are related spatially and genetically. This scenario should be confirmed by combining other methods, such as night-time thermal imagery, AEM/TEM and drilling. If the presence of potential palaeochannels can be determined, some segments of the palaeochannels here should be favioulbe for exploring sandstone-hosted and/or calcrete style uranium deposits.

**Landsat TM**

Landsat TM image is useful in defining spectrally anomalous zones or regions when appropriately draped over DEM to enhance terrain visualisations (Figure 6.2). This can be used to figure out the relationship between the U-source rocks and in-situ uranium or U/Th anomalies, which is helpful in exploring for metasomatite uranium mineralisation.
The radiometric results, which show the distribution of gamma-ray spectrometry data, have been interpreted and compared with other data in GIS (Figures 6.3-1, 2, 3, 4, 5). These radiometric results do not appear to lead to better identification of the palaeochannels; numerous factors, such as effective depth (usually < 30 cm) of operation of the method and variable behaviour of the radioactive materials could interfere. However, the relative distribution of these radioelements in the regolith overlain bedrock as estimated from the intensity of their emitted peaks seem to directly encourage the analysis of bedrock and regolith distribution, which is useful in exploring for metasomatite and surfacial uranium deposits.

U radiometric image (Figures 6.3-1, 2, 3, 4, 5) show an elevated uranium response for the Arunta Block along the northeastern margin of the Ngalia Basin. The uranium-enriched nature of the felsic basement gneisses and granites are considered a fertile source for subsequent uranium enrichment processes. The U/Th ratio anomalies coincide with a number of reported uranium occurrences in the Arunta Block.

Significant areas with an anomalous radiometric response are notably rich in and around the tenements (Figures 6.1-1, 6.1-2, 6.1-3, 6.1-4), with notable anomalies a significant spike in EL 29205 (Figure 4.2).
These radiometric features suggest that the airborne radiometric anomalies are the products of the in-situ regolith developed in Arunta Block and the transported regolith deposited along the drainage creeks, which can be used for exploring metasomatite uranium deposits in the high radiometric anomalies occurring in Arunta Block and surficial uranium deposits in the drainage creeks. Thus, it was thought that the airborne radiometric anomalies may be associated with favourable lithologies which have potential to host uranium deposits.

The areas correspond topographically with broad diffuse drainage areas, where U, being a mobile element, is stripped from the regolith easier than in areas of less drainage (Figure 6.3-4). The relationship between regolith and drainage system shows significance in defining areas anomalous in uranium (Figure 6.3-4).
Figure 6.3-2 KThU radiometrics imagery and mineral occurrences in relation to tenement.

Figure 6.3-3 Radiometrics TC imagery and mineral occurrences in relation to tenement.
Figure 6.3-4 U radiometrics imagery and mineral occurrences in relation to tenement.

Figure 6.3-5 Th Radiometrics imagery and mineral occurrences in relation to tenement.
Thorium correlates moderately well with the radiometric anomaly (Figures 6.3-1, 2, 3 and 5) and topographic highs (Figure 6.1); U and Th weakly correlate with each other (Figures 6.3-4 and 5).

**Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI)**

Magnetic high features are interpreted as Fe-bearing metamorphic basement and fault-like images, with some features apparent showing relatively shallow basement to the Ngalia Basin (Figures 6.4-1 and 6.4-2). The Ngalia Basin margin is clearly defined by the total magnetic intensity data (Figures 6.4-2 and 6.5) and the regional basement geology map (Figure 4.1).

![Figure 6.4-1 Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) of the ‘Wuhua’ project area.](image-url)
Mesozoic and/or Cainozoic paleovalleys are not usually visible on regional magnetic data (Figure 6.4), as they are relatively shallow features, but careful use of detailed surveys may assist in locating channel deposits (e.g., basalt buried within the paleovalley generally showing positive magnetic anomaly).

**Gravity**

Because of normally small scales of palaeovalleys, gravity method should use high resolution survey, especially when it used with DEM, Landsat, NOAA, or AEM images. The Ngalia Basin margin is also clearly defined by the gravity data (Figure 6.5) and the regional basement geology map (Figure 4.1).

**6.2 Uranium Prospectivity**

The tenements are located within the general region of uranium prospectivity (Lally and Bajwah, 2006) and of relevance are occurrences in the area of sandstone-hosted, surficial and the metasomatite and vein uranium mineralisation styles. EL 29205 is located in the uranium potential zones of the Ngalia Basin and Amadeus Basin areas shown in Figure 5.1.
Figure 6.5 Gravity of the ‘Wuhua’ project area showing Ngalia Basin and mineral occurrences

The style of mineralisation within the Arunta Block gneisses and metamorphic sequences is represented by pegmatite/vein style mineralisation (Sn-Ta-W) and the Nolans Bore metasomatite flour-apatite style mineralisation (REE-P-U).

EL 29205 is located to the northeast of the Ngalia Basin. They are therefore considered prospective for palaeovalley sandstone-hosted and calcrete style uranium mineralisation, similar to those found within the Palaeozoic continental clastic successions of the Kerridy Sandstone Formation and Mount Eclipse Formation.

Surficial style mineralisation related to, palaeovalley, playa, or terrace deposits may be developed in areas where significant calcrete and terrace deposits are preserved, associated with the drainage system and possible palaeo-playa lakes. Calcrete is reported from the central licence area, tenement EL 29205, associated with the Warburton Creek drainage system. Two areas of calcrete, approximately 1km in length are included on the NAPPERBY 1:250 000 scale geology mapsheet. No previous sampling and geochemical data are available from either of the calcrete locations.
7. PROPOSED EXPLORATION

The combination of DEM, AEM, and night-time thermal remotely-sensed imagery in GIS mode represent by far the best method for palaeovalley-related uranium exploration in the region. Ground magnetic and gravity, shallow seismic, TEM, and test drilling, where necessary, are also important media that can be used in subsurface structure delineation. The detector and orbital configuration of NOAA-AVHRR (1.1 km pixel) and ASTER (90 m pixel) night time data could make it a very useful remote-sensing method in that it detects temperature variations in palaeochannel sediments related to the elevated moisture content of the channel. Microgravity surveying techniques can also be applied to look for uranium-bearing palaeochannels in the project areas; gravitational techniques should work quite well, given the density contrast of the basement/bedrock and the channel sand. The AEM has proven to be a good guide to conductive variations in the subsurface and is one of the best techniques for detecting buried conductive anomalies.

It is recommended that the exploration program in the next step should be designed to test the tenements for the uranium targets described above. In summary, the following conclusions can be made regarding the geophysical and geological methods for locating potential mineralisation sites:

- Acquires and interprets detailed night-time thermal image image (first), AEM/TEM or shallow seismic data over the project area.
- An airborne electromagnetic survey (AEM) is recommended second as results may define smaller target areas and aid in orientating traverse lines correctly.
- If necessary, carries out ground gravity traverses over the magnetic anomalies with the generation of geology maps.
- Compiles a detailed structural map and analysis of all priority magnetic and radiometric anomalies to determine the controls and disposition of any uranium mineralisation potential.
- Look for signs of channels and intrusives at depth or zones of high physical contrasts along shear zones and or contacts that might mark high redox potential.
- To determine survey line orientation, lithology strike and dip needs to be determined for the palaeovalley and underlying basement.
- Test drilling should traverse across or along the interpreted signatures, and particularly high priority zones selected. When these predictions prove wrong, the information should be used to revise and update the 3D models.
- Conduct a small RAB/RC/diamond drill program targeted at down dip and down plunge extensions to the any mineralisation intersected and to test the source of the uranium conductors located by geophysical survey.
- RC drilling. The cost of drilling possibly 10-100m deep holes to reach the basement means a palaeovalley-related (sandstone and surficial-style) uranium deposit would need to be found.
- Sample water from aquifers intercepted by station bores to map U distribution.
- Any trace of valuable minerals within the basinal sediments or bedrocks (e.g., gold, uranium) is of interest as a guide to the location of basement mineralisation.
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