ANOMALY TWO (EL 2367) APPENDIX GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

GROUND MAGNETIC DATA FROM ANOMALY TWO

NORTH FLINDERS EXPLORATION

HUGH RUTTER

MAY 1992

CONTENTS

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. INTERPRETATION
- 3. CONCLUSION

FIGURES:

- 1. Location of ground magnetic travervses.
 - 2. Magnetic model results:

LINE 20,000E LINE 21,800E (Northern part) LINE 21,800E (Southern part) LINE 21,000E

3. Magnetic field profiles:

19,600E 20,200E 20,600E 21,000E 21,400E 21,800E 20,000E

1. INTRODUCTION

The data was supplied as printed profiles of total magnetic intensity for the following lines:-

Line	19600E	from	9,700N	to	10,500N
Line	20000E	from	8,000N	to	12,000N
Line	20200E	from	9,700N	to	10,500N
Line	20600E	from	9,700N	to	10,500N
Line	21000E	from	10,000N	to	10,700N
Line	21400E	from	10,000N	to	10,700N
Line	21800E	from	8,000N	to	12,000N
Line	22200E	from	10,000N	to	10,650N

The reading interval was 10m.

Anomaly Two is 14km west of The Granites exploration camp. The airborne magnetic anomaly has an east-west trend, and for most of its length consists of a double peaked anomaly. The northern anomaly is slightly greater in amplitude rising almost 100nT above background: the southern part has an amplitude of closer to 60nT. However there is little doubt that the two are related and are likely to be caused by the same rccktype duplicated by either folding or faulting.

2. Interpretation

Only the two longer lines had sufficient coverage to enable a reliable interpretation and even here there was difficulty isolating the response from each of the two anomalies.

The magnetic data on line 20,000E was graphically smoothed and a profile representing the southern magnetic horizon extracted for modelling. The main characteristics of the body are:

Line 20,000E

Depth 190m
Width 430m
Dip 70deg.S
Susceptibility 0.007emu.

The susceptibility was fixed during the modelling process: the value was derived from previous modelling at Anomally One, further east.

A similar procedure was applied to the data on line 21800E and here an attempt was made to model the two horizons separately. The results are as follows:-

Line 21800E

Southern part Northern part

Depth 240m Depth 190m

Width 415m Width 400m

Dip 40deg.S Dip 70deg. S

Susceptibility 0.0016emu Susceptibility 0.0008emu.

The depth of the magnetic rock is about 200m and the dip is consistently south. The dip may be in error if there is an appreciable amount of remanent magnetism. The susceptibility is generally low; the value of 0.008emu for the northern horizon on Line 21800E was not fixed in the model, but derived. It is lower than what would be expected if the rock type here was similar to that at the The Granites (Bullakitchie); it does not appear to be a magnetic schist with the same proportion of magnetite.

The shorter data set of Line 21000E were modelled and a surprisingly good fit obtained; this may not mean it is more accurate but the model parameters are similar to those obtained from the adjacent lines.

3. Conclusion

The magnetic modelling has defined two horizons striking east-west, at an average depth of 200m. The dip is modelled as southwards but the presence of magnetic remanence may make the true dip vertical or possibly steep to the north. The two horizons are not exactly parallel and also appear to converge in the west: the total feature may be an anticline. The magnetic susceptibility of about 0.0008emu is very low and does not indicate the presence of magnetic schists of the type seen at the mine site, at depth. The rocks have a much lower magnetic content.

HenfrRutter

Hugh Rutter Geophysical Consultant.









