

The Australian Mineral Development Laboratories

Marjorie Street, Berrimah Northern Territory 5788 Phone Darwin (089) 84 3637 or 84 4163

> Please address all correspondence to P.O. Box 58, Berrimah N.T. 5788 In reply quote:

28th October, 1985

Our Ref : D50/86

REPORT NUMBER: D50/86

CLIENT :

N.T. Department of Mines & Energy

YOUR REFERENCE:

16/85/86

REPORT COMPRISING : Cover Sheet

Pages 1 and 2

Screen sizing analysis sheet

Stuart Glenn

Manager

AMDEL-NT

Assay report sheet

DATE RECEIVED:

August 1985

Head Office: Flemington Street, Frewville South Australia 5063 Telephone (08) 79 1662 Telex: Amdel AA82520 Pilot Plant: Osman Place Thebarton, S.A. Telephone (08) 43 5733 Telex: Amdel AA82725 **Branch Laboratories:**

Melbourne, Vic. Telephone (03) 645 3093

Perth, W.A. Telephone (09) 325 7311 Telex: Amdel AA94893

Sydney, N.S.W. Telephone (02) 439 7735

Telex: Amdel AA20053 Townsville Queensland 4814 Telephone (077) 75 1377

Northern Territory 5788 Telephone (089) 84 3637



INTRODUCTION

A sample of mill product concentrate was submitted to AMDEL-NT by the Department of Mines and Energy for Mr. G.E. Casey from GML 120B. Testwork required included an XRD scan to determine minerals present, sizing and amalgamation test and assay for Au, Ag, Fe.

RESULTS

XRD SCAN

The scan revealed that the major minerals present were silica and haematite with a minor phase of lead-tin oxide.

SIZING

The sample was screened over a 1.18mm and a 45µm screens. Results are shown on the screen sizing analysis sheet. The material was reasonably coarse with the majority of the sample lying between 1.18mm and 45µm. The small amount of -45µm fraction was too small for analysis so was re-included in the sample for a head analysis and subsequent amalgamation test.

HEAD ASSAY

Analyses for Au, Ag, Fe on the sample were carried out to determine the grade of extractable Au and Ag and the Fe content. Results are tabulated on the assay report sheet.

AMALGAMATION TEST

After the sizing analysis was completed the fractions were recombined and an amalgamation test carried out. The amalgam was analysed for Au and the residue for Au, Ag, Fe. Results are tabulated on the assay report sheet. After dissolving the mercury with nitric acid, very fine visible gold was observed.

CONCLUSIONS

The poor recovery of the amalgam is probably due to the fact that the gold present is very finely grained and inaccessable to amalgamation without further reduction in size of the concentrates + 45um fraction.



X-RAY DIFFRACTION SCAN

SAMPLE NO. 1

 ${\it cx}$ Quartz - major phase ${\rm SiO}_2$ Hematite - major phase ${\rm FeO}_2$ Lead-tin Oxide - minor phase ${\rm PbSnO}_4$

METALLURGICAL TEST

SCREEN SIZING ANALYSIS

Sample No	D50/86					
	•					
Location	······································					
Clients Reference						
		•••••				

Aperture in microns	Weight g	%	CUM.	ASSAYS.		DISTRIBUTIONS		
1.18mm	0.0	0.0						
45 <u>u</u> m	316.3	97.3		·				
-45um	8.8	2.7						
TOTAL: ASSAYED	325.1							

REMARKS:

FORM 38

		,	ANALYSIS					
Sample N°	g/t Au	g/t Ag	% Fe					
HEAD	230	48	18.8					
AMALGAM	1.7							
RESIDUE	228	46	18.5	? not	amonald lay furth liberate	e to a	mal gam	·ation
13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				to	lay but	or granding	ng sagain	tad .
	:							
						<u>.</u>		
							775 775 47 A	
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1900							:	
						··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	
				<u> </u>			<u>.</u>	
								·
							· ·	
								· · · · ·
7.								
1								
								W
A2/3 A1/2 O1/1	3 2 1		harger s	for sizi	-quira-t	\$ \$00 2.30 90 45	*	